



Air Force Military Construction

Program Management Plan

Date al Jan 2008

SAL M. NODJOMIAN

Colonel, USAF

Chief, Programs Division

DSC/Logistics, Installations & Mission Support

H R. HEMSTREET

Captain, CEC, USN

Deputy Commander for Operations

Date 29) 1 08

NAVFACHQ





TABLE OF CONTENTS	Page
1. GENERAL	3
1. Purpose	
2. References	
3. Scope	
4. Changes to Program Management Plan	
2. ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	3
1. HQ USAF	
2. NAVFACHQ	
3. PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	4
1. General	
2. Design and Construction Responsibility	
3. Program Reviews	
4. Automated Management Information systems	
4. PLANNING	4
1. Design Guides	
2. Legislative Proposals	
3. Project Development	
5. PROGRAMMING	5
6. DESIGN	5
1. Design Authorization	
2. Design Schedules	
3. Design and Construction Standards	
7. CONSTRUCTION	6
1. Schedule	
2. Red Zone Meeting	
3. Project Turnover	
4. Current Working Estimate (CWE)	
5. Construction Partnering	
8. FUNDS MANAGEMENT	6
1. Transfer of Funds	
2. Funds Management	
3. Claim Settlement and Reimbursement to the Judgment Fund	
9. PROGRAM GOALS	7
10. QUALITY AND CLIENT SATISFACTION	7
Appendix A: Automated Management Information Systems	8
Appendix B: Goals and Metrics	9
List of TABS	11

1. GENERAL:

1.1 PURPOSE: The Headquarters U.S. Air Force (HQ USAF) and Headquarters Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFACHQ) developed this Program Management Plan (PMP) to implement their Partnering Agreement (reference 1.2a), and to support safe and successful delivery of quality USAF Military Construction (MILCON) facilities on time and within budget. The PMP establishes and formalizes the expectations of both organizations in providing 'cradle to grave' management of the Air Force Military Construction Program.

1.2 REFERENCES:

- a. Partnering Agreement, HQ USAF/A7C and NAVFACHQ, 13 May 2003
- b. DODI 4270.5, Military Construction Responsibilities, 12 February 2005
- c. AFI 32-1023, Design and Construction Standards and Execution of Facility Projects, 19 July 1994
- d. NAVFAC-Air Force-DFAS Memorandum of Understanding, September 2002
- 1.3 SCOPE: This PMP applies to all USAF MILCON and USAF funded BRAC projects assigned to NAVFAC including Air Force Reserve and Air National Guard MILCON projects but excluding Air Force Non-Appropriated Funds, Air Force Family Housing and NATO-funded Air Force projects which all operate under differing constraints. Unless authorized by special authority or agreement, NAVFAC shall be the design/construction agent for all AF MILCON projects at locations assigned to NAVFAC. In accordance with reference 1.2.b, the AF may request authority to design and construct specific projects normally assigned to NAVFAC as design/construction agent. Projects will be evaluated by NAVFAC on a project by project basis as requested by HQ USAF normally eighteen (18) months prior to the beginning of the project FY. This PMP will be supplemented by acquisition strategy plans for each MILCON project NAVFAC executes for Air Force, as determined by the cognizant NAVFAC Facilities Engineering Command (FEC) and the Air Force Center for Engineering and the Environment (AFCEE).
- **1.4 CHANGES TO PROGRAM MANAGEMENT PLAN:** This PMP will be reviewed periodically and may be revised at any time by mutual consent of the signatories.

2. ROLES and RESPONSIBILITIES:

- 2.1 HQ USAF/A7CP is responsible for oversight of the AF MILCON program. HQ USAF/A7CP provides policy, guidance and direction to AFCEE and NAVFACHQ for executing the Air Force MILCON program. AFCEE is responsible for management of the AF MILCON program. AF MAJCOMs are responsible for the planning and programming of MILCON projects at their installations, and shall also maintain a liaison function to communicate and coordinate program execution issues with AFCEE.
- 2.2 NAVFACHQ is responsible for program management of the AF MILCON program assigned to NAVFAC, including NAVFAC policy, guidance and direction for program management and execution, as the AF's design and construction agent. NAVFACHQ's Operations Officer is the primary, but not sole, point-of-contact with HQ USAF/A7CP. The NAVFACHQ Operations Officer, in conjunction with the NAVFACHQ Capital Improvements

Business Line, is accountable to HQ USAF/A7CP for the successful execution of the Air Force MILCON program assigned to NAVFAC.

3. PROGRAM MANAGEMENT:

3.1 GENERAL: The HQ USAF and NAVFACHQ will work to meet expectations outlined in this plan. Each will maintain a continuing professional dialog with their counterpart as well as their respective management chain to identify future facility requirements and achieve successful execution of projects through a partnered effort. HQ USAF and NAVFACHQ will strive to improve policy and guidance to meet the objectives in this plan. HQ USAF and NAVFACHQ will coordinate policy changes and process improvements that may impact program execution prior to issuance whenever possible.

3.2 DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION RESPONSIBILITY:

a. Design and construction of projects assigned to NAVFAC (reference 1.2b) will be executed by the NAVFAC component responsible for the geographic area where the Air Force base is located, drawing on technical, acquisition, and/or management support from other NAVFAC components if needed. These NAVFAC component commands are referred to as Facilities Engineering Commands (FECs).

3.3 PROGRAM REVIEWS:

- a. NAVFACHQ and HQ USAF program managers will meet regularly to review the current status of the MILCON program and efforts to improve execution of the program. The Commander, NAVFACENGCOM, and the HQ USAF/A7C will meet at least annually. NAVFAC leaders will participate in AF MILCON Program Management Reviews.
- b. NAVFACHQ and HQ USAF will continue to regularly exchange reports that address program goals, metrics, and issues referred to them by AFCEE and NAVFAC execution components.
- **3.4 AUTOMATED MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS:** HQ USAF and NAVFACHQ will work toward the most efficient methods for data exchange between staff and project management information systems and will permit access to service-specific project management systems. These systems are listed in Appendix A.

4. PLANNING:

- **4.1 DESIGN GUIDES:** HQ USAF/A7CP will provide the NAVFACHQ Capital Improvements Business Line / Chief Engineers Office opportunities to review Air Force Design Guides as they are being vetted by the document owners. Any revised Air Force Design Guides or AF Engineering Technical Letters will be coordinated with NAVFACHQ prior to distribution to AF and NAVFAC offices.
- **4.2 LEGISLATIVE PROPOSALS:** NAVFACHQ and HQ USAF/A7C Congressional liaison staff will confer directly on a regular basis to coordinate legislative proposals.
- **4.3 PROJECT DEVELOPMENT:** HQ USAF and NAVFACHQ strongly encourage NAVFAC FEC and AFCEE participation in the development of the pre-planning documents also known as

Customer Concept Documents (CCD) to ensure all key requirements are addressed. This will help Air Force ensure that project scopes and budgets are accurate and sufficient for successful design and construction and that site/environmental issues are clearly identified and addressed during the project development phase. When requested by the Air Force, NAVFAC will provide cost validation of projects (including Congressional insert projects) prior to submission of project documentation for budget review or to the requesting committee. The Air Force is committed to timely completion of National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and other key planning documentation that will help ensure successful project execution.

5. PROGRAMMING: HQ USAF/A7CP will provide Future Year Defense Plan information to NAVFACHQ as soon as such information is releasable. NAVFACHQ will provide this information to NAVFAC components, encouraging them to communicate with AFCEE, Air Force MAJCOMs, and base representatives to discuss project scope, budget and schedule requirements, identify acquisition strategy alternatives, and offer project documentation development and planning services at the earliest possible time.

6. DESIGN:

6.1 DESIGN AUTHORIZATION:

- a. Planning Instruction (PI). HQ USAF will provide NAVFACHQ information copies of PI's authorizing AFCEE to proceed with design and expenditure of initial design funds.
- b. 2807 Notification: HQ USAF will notify the appropriate committees of Congress when required and will advise NAVFACHQ when the 21-day notification period has started, and after the 21-day notification period has been completed.
- c. Field Design Instruction (Field DI). After receipt of the PI, AFCEE will issue a Field DI to the NAVFAC execution component with information copies to NAVFACHQ. The Field DI authorizes NAVFAC to design the project and includes specific information including but not limited to the following: Programmed Amount (PA), Construction Cost Limitation (CCL), Scope, DD Form 1391, Level of Design Authorized, and proposed schedule with User Need Date. Design funds will be provided with Field DI to fund the authorized level of design. If design funds are provided after the Field DI issuance date, the project schedule will be based on the time the funds are received by NAVFAC. For President's Budget projects, the target is to issue Field DIs at least 16 months prior to beginning of the execution year (e.g., 1 Jun 2007 for the FY09 program). For Congressional insert projects, the target is to issue PIs and Field DIs within 30 days following three positive Congressional MILCON Subcommittee marks. Upon receipt of Field DI, the cognizant NAVFAC FEC will collaborate with AFCEE to finalize the acquisition strategy.
- **6.2 DESIGN SCHEDULES:** Design schedules will be developed jointly between the NAVFAC FEC and AFCEE to achieve program and project goals. Scheduled dates for design review milestones are a commitment to the customer and must be aggressively pursued by the joint NAVFAC-AF project team.
- **6.3 DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS:** Projects will comply with AFI 32-1023 (reference 1.2c). HQ USAF is responsible for providing written confirmation of any

waivers to AFI 32-1023 to NAVFACHQ for dissemination to NAVFAC offices. NAVFAC will not waive AF criteria without written HQ USAF approval.

7. CONSTRUCTION:

- **7.1 SCHEDULE:** Project schedules will be developed jointly between the NAVFAC FEC and AFCEE to achieve project goals. The scheduled beneficial occupancy date (BOD) is a commitment to the customer and must be aggressively pursued by the joint NAVFAC-AF project team.
- **7.2 RED ZONE MEETING (RZM):** A RZM (Tab C) will be convened for the purpose of facilitating physical and fiscal completion of the project. The NAVFAC FEC will facilitate the RZM no less than 60 days prior to scheduled beneficial occupancy date (BOD). The FEC will document discussions and actions from the RZM in the meeting minutes and distribute the minutes to all RZM members.
- **7.3 PROJECT TURNOVER:** Interim DD Forms 1354 will be provided to the appropriate Air Force representative at the completion of construction (Tab D). Final DD Forms 1354 will be provided prior to or as part of financial closure.
- **7.4 CURRENT WORKING ESTIMATE (CWE):** The CWE reflects all costs contemplated to be funded by a project, including contract award amount, optional bid items, contingency, the applicable Supervision, Inspection and Overhead (SIOH) rate, and other miscellaneous items. The miscellaneous items may include costs for: design-build design fee, post construction award services, USAF Management Reserve funds, base-performed work items, and separately funded equipment or telecommunications requirements. Post construction award services requirements must be approved by AFCEE.
- 7.5 CONSTRUCTION PARTNERING: To maximize the opportunity for project success, each project that NAVFAC executes for Air Force will incorporate provisions for partnering after construction contract award. HQ USAF and NAVFAC supports key project personnel participating in the partnering process including but not limited to users who will occupy the facility, MAJCOM representatives, members of the AFCEE/NAVFAC project team, the designer-of-record and the construction contractor.

8. FUNDS MANAGEMENT:

- **8.1 TRANSFER OF FUNDS:** MILCON funds are released by HQ USAF to NAVFACHQ for award of contracts, increases in contracts, real estate acquisition and other purposes specified in Congressional Authorization and Appropriation Bills. NAVFACHQ will allocate these funds to the appropriate NAVFAC component.
- **8.2 FUNDS MANAGEMENT:** NAVFACHQ is accountable for all AF MILCON funds transferred to NAVFAC. Upon identification by HQ USAF, NAVFAC will assist in the return of excess funds to USAF within 60-days of request by USAF. NAVFACHQ will regularly provide HQ USAF, AFCEE, and NAVFAC components with reports of AF MILCON funds being held by NAVFAC, including reports noted in the NAVFAC-DFAS-AF Memorandum of Understanding dated September 2002 (reference 1.2d).

8.3 CLAIM SETTLEMENT AND REIMBURSEMENT TO THE JUDGMENT FUND:

- a. NAVFAC will hold contingency funds for payment of claims plus SIOH, for claims determined to have merit.
- b. NAVFAC will provide HQ USAF/A7CP quarterly reports summarizing claim settlements and outstanding claims.
- 9. PROGRAM GOALS: The overall goal for the MILCON program is to safely provide quality facilities that meet user requirements on time, and within budget. NAVFAC and Air Force recognize that achieving desired outcomes is dependent on effective sub-processes that result in timely completion of quality products at intermediate stages in the overall process. Desired outcomes (goals and metrics for the program) are shown in Appendix B. An annual joint report will be developed by November of each year showing the program health based on these metrics and goals (HQ USAF lead). Indicators not meeting the agreed goal are expected to show improvement in subsequent years and the NAVFAC/Air Force team will work together to effect those improvements.
- 10. QUALITY and CLIENT SATISFACTION: Both Air Force and NAVFAC recognize that our most critical measure of success is the degree to which facility end-users are able to meet their operational mission. With that in mind, Air Force and NAVFAC will work together to establish and institutionalize processes for follow-up after product/service delivery, including the use of NAVFAC's web-based Facility Quality Evaluation tool to obtain feedback and capture lessons learned from facility users and operators as well as from others involved in the planning, design and execution processes. In addition, we will jointly emphasize the need for effective, continual and proactive communications at all levels to help ensure mission success.

APPENDIX A AUTOMATED MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS

- 1. NAVFAC's ieFACMAN: NAVFAC's integrated systems architecture, collectively known as the ieFACMAN (interoperable enterprise Facilities Management) system, will be used as much as possible to help with project, funds and program management requirements.
- 2. Air Force Automated Civil Engineer System Program Management (ACES-PM): ACES-PM provides an integrated, worldwide network for transfer of Air Force design and construction management information. The system utilizes distributive processing, with information entered at local desktop computers to generate daily updated project data for worldwide availability. Air Force will be responsible for entering information into the ACES-PM system during the design and construction phases.

APPENDIX B GOALS AND METRICS

The following goals and metrics are important to both NAVFAC and Air Force in helping measure successful program execution. Metrics/targets previously established under Air Force "Dirtkicker" criteria are transitioning to a single set of commonly defined DoD metrics that NAVFAC and Air Force will work toward along with USACE. AFCEE shall establish a process whereby projects may be excused from metrics targets for legitimate reasons. Customer requested change order impacts shall not be counted against agent metrics targets for construction duration, schedule growth or cost growth.

- 1. **DESIGN AUTHORIZATION, FINAL DESIGN:** For President's Budget projects, the target is to issue Field DIs at least 16 months prior to beginning of the execution year (e.g., 1 Jun 2007 for the FY09 program). For Congressional Insert projects, design instructions will be issued within 30 days of three positive Congressional MILCON Subcommittee marks. Depending on design funds availability, design funds will be provided with Field DI to fund authorized level of design. If design funds are provided after the Field DI issuance date, the project schedule will be based on the time the funds are received by NAVFAC. AFCEE will track Field DI dates to ensure they are issued in a timely manner.
- 2. USE OLD P&D FUNDS: Obligate prior year P&D funds against contracts or in-house labor (not just MIPRs), by the end of the third quarter, as follows:

FY – 2 (FY07 for FY09) and prior years
FY – 1 (FY08 for FY09)
100% obligated,
80% obligated.

- 3. **DESIGN COMPLETION:** Complete design of all Presidents' Budget projects and be ready to advertise for construction by 30 September prior to the execution year (based on receipt of Field DI and Design funds per Paragraph 1 above).
- **4. CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT AWARD, PRESIDENT'S BUDGET PROJECTS:** Award all President's Budget projects in the first two quarters of the appropriation FY.
- 5. CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT AWARD, CONGRESSIONAL INSERT AND BRAC PROJECTS: Award all Congressional Insert Projects and BRAC projects in the appropriation FY.
- **6. CONSTRUCTION DURATION:** Construction duration (NTP to BOD) should not exceed the following for routine facility construction; exceptions are to be coordinated between the NAVFAC execution component and AFCEE.

PA < \$5M, construction duration is 365 days

 $PA \ge $5M$ and PA < \$20M, construction duration is 540 days

 $PA \ge $20M$, construction duration is 730 days

For both traditional construction and design/build contracts, the goal is to eliminate change orders that impact the project's construction duration.

- 7. CONTRACT AWARD COST (CWE/PA RATIO): Award the program with a CWE/PA ratio established annually by HQ USAF. The sum of the award CWE divided by the sum of the PA for all projects available for award in FY should be less than the annually established amount; AFCEE is responsible for ensuring this target is met for each program year.
- 8. CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE GROWTH: Manage schedule growth to 10% or less. Schedule Growth is the performance days (i.e., NTP to BOD) relative to the original estimated performance days. Schedule growth has a direct impact on cost growth. Minimizing schedule growth promotes quicker closeout of projects and availability of funds for use elsewhere if needed. Should this goal not be met, HQ USAF and NAVFACHQ will work together to understand reasons why the goal was not met and to identify what steps each organization should take to help meet the goal in the future.
- 9. CONSTRUCTION COST GROWTH: Manage construction cost growth to 5% or less of the original contract amount. Cost Growth is the ratio of current contract cost to original contract cost. Original contract award price (including cost of options even if not awarded on same day as base bid) is the basis for all calculations. Should this goal not be met, HQ USAF and NAVFACHQ will work together to understand reasons why the goal was not met and to identify what steps each organization should take to help meet the goal in the future.
- 10. FINANCIAL CLOSEOUT: Financially close projects in CONUS within 12 months of BOD, and OCONUS projects within 15 months of BOD (for OCONUS projects, influences outside the control of the DoD may require adjustments).

TABS

- A. Partnering Agreement, HQ USAF/A7C and NAVFACHQ, 13 May 2003
- B. DODI 4270.5, Military Construction Responsibilities, 12 Feb 2005
- C. Air Force "Red Zone Meeting" implementation letter dated 22 July 2002
- D. Form DD Form 1354, Transfer and Acceptance of Military Real Property, March 2004
- E. NAVFAC-Air Force-DFAS Memorandum of Understanding, September 2002